



ADDENDUM 2

Date: 30 January 2025
Pages: 2
Subject: **Addendum 2 to the RFP AM-12191 AIFFP Independent Environment and Social Consultant, 14 January 2025**

Prospective Tenderers are advised of the following:

The following table provides responses to Requests for Information (RFI) received from prospective tenderers.

This addendum has been openly provided to all potential tenderers.

All other information as set out in the RFP as per Addendum 1 (dated 29 January 2025) remains unchanged.

| QUERY No. | RFI | RESPONSE |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1. | <i>Our internal approval processes requires us to understand who the Borrower for the Project will be, to undertake compliance and anti-corruption checks. Can you please advise who the Borrower(s) are for each Project. If not all are known, please advise the Borrower for the Papua New Guinea Projects as a minimum</i> | The specific details are not available, noting that the successful supplier is very unlikely to have any contact or relationship with the borrower. However, as a guide, the borrower is defined in the loan and/or grant agreements, with the agreements being signed between GoPNG and AUS Gov. There is a subsidiary loan agreement between GoPNG Treasury/Finance and PNG Power Limited and PNG Port Limited (possibly other State Owned Enterprises) |
| 2. | <i>Papua New Guinea is classified as a High Risk travel country. Please advise on what security arrangements will be provided by Tetra Tech Intl or the Borrower to support site visits in Papua New Guinea for field staff?</i> | While AIFFP SU can assist with information and guidance, the consultant is to manage own risk. |
| 3. | <i>We note the port sites are in remote areas of the country. Please advise if it is expected that we will be responsible for securing/organising all travel requirements or whether logistical</i> | Consultant to organise. AIFFP SU and/or Borrower may have advice and recommendations. |

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| | <i>assistance will be provided by Tetra Tech Intl or the Borrower? For example, will charter air flight companies be recommended/provided, will boat travel be necessary, will private vehicle transport be provided?</i> | |
| 4. | <i>Are the two additional projects that are not currently defined in the RfT: a) Ports? b) In which country, if not PNG?</i> | Specifics are unknown at this stage, but its most likely to be other Ports or Power projects. |
| 5. | <i>Please confirm if the indicated budget (AUD\$170,000) is for E&S reviews for 3 or 5 projects. Pages 2 and 7 of 96 states up to 5 projects, p 9 of 96 states 5 projects.</i> | No more than AUD 170,000 for five IESC project reports for 5 IESC assessments. |
| 6. | <i>Please confirm that the country to which the national laws, regulations and permits pertain to refers to the country in which the project will be implemented (see Q 1). We refer to the last bullet on p 2 of 96 (Invitation Overview) and Background (p 9 of 96) of the RfT</i> | PNG, and others as appropriate |
| 7. | <i>Will the project reviews run in parallel or sequence?</i> | Parallel where possible. |
| 8. | <i>What is the expected timing of award, commencement, and completion of the work?</i> | The contract is expected to be awarded in mid-March 2025, with mobilisation within a week of the contract. Completion of the work is subject to project implementation, although the initial contract term will only be until June 2026. |
| 9. | <i>Does the scope of work exclude assessment of earlier (already constructed and operating) stages of a project (where applicable)?</i> | Yes. Scope is for each project in its current state moving forward |
| 10. | <i>Are the number of milestones (4) listed in the contract indicative only?</i> | Milestones are to ensure uniform financials are presented by tenderers for evaluation. Tenderers may suggest alternative milestones, but are encouraged to provide financials that correspond with the provided format. |